Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/816,492	RABINOWITZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mina Haghighatian	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) Mina Haghighatian.	(3)	
(2) <u>Barry Swanson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
·		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	he examiner will provide a	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Mil		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)
•		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Swanson to discuss a potential new matter issue with the specification and certain claims. It was suggested to Mr. Swanson to change the particle size range of claim 33 to read "0.1 to 5" and adding the term "about" before "0.2" and "3" in claim 34. Specification was suggested to be amended to include the same range also. Mr. Swanson agreed to all the suggestions and it was decided to have the amendments done by an Examiner's amendment (attached). . .